EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

WILEY, REIN & FIELDING

1776 K STREET, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

(202) 429-7000

RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

FACSIMILE (202) 429-7049 TELEX 248349 WYRN UR

DONNA COLEMAN GREGG (202) 429-7260

July 30, 1993

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Notification of Permitted Ex Parte Presentation

MM Docket No. 92-266

Dear Mr. Caton:

Star Cable Associates, by its attorney and pursuant to Section 1.1206(a)(1)-(a)(2) of the Commission's rules, hereby submits an original and two copies of this memorandum regarding a permitted <u>ex parte</u> presentation to Commission officials regarding MM Docket No. 92-266.

Today at 11:00 a.m., the undersigned and Peter D. Ross of Wiley, Rein & Fielding, along with James Roddey, Michael Haislip, and Matt Polka of Star Cable Associates, met with Byron Marchant and James Coltharp of Commissioner Barrett's staff. The discussion related to the written ex parte presentation attached hereto, as well as proposals included in the Coalition of Small System Operators' Petition for Reconsideration of the Commission's Report and Order in MM Docket 92-266.

Kindly direct any questions regarding this matter to the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Donna C. Gregg

PDR/lar Attachments

cc: Byron Marchant James Coltharp

No. of Copies rec'd D4



100 Greentree Commons 381 Mansfield Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15220 Telephone (412) 937-0099 Telefax (412) 937-0145

OUR COMPANY

- * Star Cable Associates is a small, rural cable system operator serving a total of 162 community units in South Carolina, North Carolina, Louisiana, Virginia, Texas and Ohio.
- * Star Cable serves a total of 61,000 customers from 60 headends, thus averaging just over 1,000 customers per headend.
- * Since 1987, Star Cable has constructed over 2,500 miles of cable plant in areas with an average density of just 22 homes per mile -- communities which neighboring cable operators had declined to serve even after rate deregulation under the 1984 Cable Act because of the daunting economics of building low-density systems.

OUR PURPOSE

* Rather than just complaining about the impending rate regulations, Star Cable would like to respond to the Commission's public call for constructive suggestions to tailor its benchmark/price cap mechanism in a way that reasonably reduces the administrative burden and disproportionate impact of regulation on small and more rural cable systems.

OUR PROPOSAL

* Cable operators serving communities with densities significantly below average should be allowed an add-on to their benchmark/price cap-generated rate to offset at least in part the greater investment and expense per subscriber of serving low-density communities.

THE RESULT

- * Cable operators would be better able to cover the disproportionate cost of serving rural America without having to pursue cost-of-service proceedings neither they nor the Commission (or local regulators) can much afford.
- * At the same time, only a small percentage of cable subscribers nationwide would see even the moderate adjustment to benchmark rates contemplated by this proposal.



DENSITY DRIVES CABLE ECONOMICS

The most significant factor in cable system economics is density. At very low densities of 30 homes per mile or less, there is a large increase in capital investment per customer and certain plant expenses per customer. Some of the more significant density variables are as follows:

Initial Capital Investment

Distribution System

The cost to build a mile of cable plant varies little from rural to suburban areas. This is by far the largest portion of a system's capital investment (over 75% in a rural system). There is a direct relationship between density and cost per customer. If one system is half as dense as another, the distribution investment per customer doubles.

Head-end Investment

In the typical scenario attached, the rural operator needs nine head-ends to serve the same number of customers a suburban operator services from one head-end. At a cost of over \$100,000 each, the cost differential per customer is substantial.

Technical Expenses That Are Driven By Plant Miles.

Pole Rent, Property Taxes and System Powering Expense

These expenses are relatively constant on a per mile basis, no matter how many customers are in that mile. The cost per customer rises as density decreases.

• Technical Personnel and Related Expenses

While customer levels are a major factor in determining technical staffing levels, in rural areas additional technicians are needed due to travel times and the need to maintain more plant miles. A practical limit is 100 plant miles per technician.



IMPACT OF LOW DENSITY ON CAPITAL INVESTMENT AND EXPENSES

	Rural Density System (<u>22 HPM</u>) (000)	Large Operator Urban Density System (<u>67 HPM</u>) (000)	Comments
Gross Investment: Distribution System	\$10,470	\$3,225	Three times as many plant miles in a rural system (\$15,000/mile).
Head-end	1,100	123	Nine head-ends vs. one.
Vehicles	155	135	One less technical vehicle.
Other	1.805	1.805	
Total	\$ <u>13,530</u>	\$ <u>5,288</u>	Capital investment is 2.5 times as high in a rural area.
Investment/Customer	\$ <u>1,458</u>	\$ <u>570</u>	
Expenses: Payroli	\$ 460	\$ 468	The rural requirement for an extra technician but is offset by 10-20% higher wages in urban areas.
Plant	477	206	More rural plant miles mean higher costs for system power, pole rent and property taxes.
Service	933	877	Significantly lower programming costs for large operator. Copyright increases in suburban system due to larger head-end size.
G & A	149	138	Office rent is 72% higher in suburban areas but long distance telephone charges are much lower.
Marketing	<u>26</u>	26	
Subtotal	\$ 2,045	\$1,715	This is a 19.2% differential in operating expenses for rural systems.
Depreciation	1.278	_588	Based on investment differences shown above. Detail is attached.
Total	\$ <u>3.323</u>	\$ <u>2.303</u>	This is a 44% differential in total expenses for rural systems.

NOTE: This is a summary comparison of our rural Ohio system at 22 homes per mile vs. a more suburban system with the national average density of 67 homes per mile. Both systems have the same number of customers (9,279 at year-end).



IMPACT OF DENSITY ON CABLE PLANT DEPRECIATION (PER BASIC CUSTOMER)

Homes/Mile	Customers/ Mile @ 60%	Depreciation Differential/ Customer/Month
63	37.75 ⁽¹⁾	
58	35	\$.22
50	30	\$.71
42	25	\$ 1.41
33	20	\$ 2.45
25	15	\$ 4.19

Note:

Information is taken from the Petition for Reconsideration filed on behalf of the Coalition of Small System Operators.

⁽¹⁾ Average customers per mile from the FCC database.



COMPARISON OF BENCHMARK RATES TO POTENTIAL COST-OF-SERVICE RATES

Current System Rate ⁽¹⁾	\$ <u>23.62</u>
Benchmark Rate ⁽¹⁾	\$ <u>20.82</u>
Cost-of-Service Rate (est.)(2)	\$ <u>32.47</u>

Note:

For this type system there is a large gap between the benchmark rate and the cost-of-service rate. An allowance for depreciation as shown on the prior page would conservatively meet the need for relief while still remaining well within cost-of-service boundaries.

July 28, 1993

⁽¹⁾Includes equipment charges

⁽²⁾Conservatively estimated using no intangibles, no income taxes and an 11.25% return on net assets.

STAR CABLE ASSOCIATES

FCC PRESENTATION SUPPORTING MATERIALS

JULY 30, 1993



OUR COMPANY

- * Star Cable Associates is a small, rural cable system operator serving a total of 162 community units in South Carolina, North Carolina, Louisiana, Virginia, Texas and Ohio.
- * Star Cable serves a total of 61,000 customers from 60 headends, thus averaging just over 1,000 customers per headend.
- * Since 1987, Star Cable has constructed over 2,500 miles of cable plant in areas with an average density of just 22 homes per mile -- communities which neighboring cable operators had declined to serve even after rate deregulation under the 1984 Cable Act because of the daunting economics of building low-density systems.

OUR PURPOSE

* Rather than just complaining about the impending rate regulations, Star Cable would like to respond to the Commission's public call for constructive suggestions to tailor its benchmark/price cap mechanism in a way that reasonably reduces the administrative burden and disproportionate impact of regulation on small and more rural cable systems.

OUR PROPOSAL

* Cable operators serving communities with densities significantly below average should be allowed an add-on to their benchmark/price cap-generated rate to offset at least in part the greater investment and expense per subscriber of serving low-density communities.

THE RESULT

- * Cable operators would be better able to cover the disproportionate cost of serving rural America without having to pursue cost-of-service proceedings neither they nor the Commission (or local regulators) can much afford.
- * At the same time, only a small percentage of cable subscribers nationwide (and major MSO systems nationwide) would see even the moderate adjustment to benchmark rates contemplated by this proposal.



DENSITY DRIVES CABLE ECONOMICS

The most significant factor in cable system economics is density. At very low densities of 30 homes per mile or less, there is a large increase in capital investment per customer and certain plant expenses per customer. Some of the more significant density variables are as follows:

Initial Capital Investment

Distribution System

The cost to build a mile of cable plant varies little from rural to suburban areas. This is by far the largest portion of a system's capital investment (over 75% in a rural system). There is a direct relationship between density and cost per customer. If one system is half as dense as another, the distribution investment per customer doubles.

Head-end Investment

In the typical scenario attached, the rural operator needs nine headends to serve the same number of customers a suburban operator services from one head-end. At a cost of over \$100,000 each, the cost differential per customer is substantial.

- Technical Expenses That Are Driven By Plant Miles.
 - Pole Rent, Property Taxes and System Powering Expense

These expenses are relatively constant on a per mile basis, no matter how many customers are in that mile. The cost per customer rises as density decreases.

Technical Personnel and Related Expenses

While customer levels are a major factor in determining technical staffing levels, in rural areas additional technicians are needed due to travel times and the need to maintain more plant miles. A practical limit is 100 plant miles per technician.



IMPACT OF LOW DENSITY ON CAPITAL INVESTMENT AND EXPENSES

	Rural Density System (<u>22 HPM</u>) (000)	Large Operator Urban Density System (<u>67 HPM</u>) (000)	Comments
Gross Investment: Distribution System	\$10,470	\$3,225	Three times as many plant miles in a rural system (\$15,000/mile).
Head-end	1,100	123	Nine head-ends vs. one.
Vehicles	155	135	One less technical vehicle.
Other	<u>1,805</u>	<u>1,805</u>	
Total	\$ <u>13,530</u>	\$ <u>5,288</u>	Capital investment is 2.5 times as high in a rural area.
Investment/Customer	\$ <u>1,458</u>	\$ <u>570</u>	
Expenses: Payroll	\$ 460	\$ 468	The rural requirement for an extra technician but is offset by 10-20% higher wages in urban areas.
Plant	477	206	More rural plant miles mean higher costs for system power, pole rent and property taxes.
Service	933	877	Significantly lower programming costs for large operator. Copyright increases in suburban system due to larger head-end size.
G & A	149	138	Office rent is 72% higher in suburban areas but long distance telephone charges are much lower.
Marketing	26	26	
Subtotal	\$ 2,045	\$1,715	This is a 19.2% differential in operating expenses for rural systems.
Depreciation	1,278	_588	Based on investment differences shown above. Detail is attached.
Total	\$ <u>3,323</u>	\$ <u>2,303</u>	This is a 44% differential in total expenses for rural systems.

NOTE: This is a summary comparison of our rural Ohio system at 22 homes per mile vs. a more suburban system with the national average density of 67 homes per mile. Both systems have the same number of customers (9,279 at year-end).



IMPACT OF DENSITY ON CABLE PLANT DEPRECIATION (PER BASIC CUSTOMER)

Homes/Mile	Customers/ Mile @ 60%	Depreciation Differential/ <u>Customer/Month</u>
63	37.75 ⁽¹⁾	**
58	35	\$.22
50 ⁻	30	\$.71
42	25	\$ 1.41
33	20	\$ 2.45
25	15	\$ 4.19

Note:

Information is taken from the Petition for Reconsideration filed on behalf of the Coalition of Small System Operators.

⁽¹⁾Average customers per mile from the FCC database.



COMPARISON OF BENCHMARK RATES TO POTENTIAL COST-OF-SERVICE RATES

Current System Rate⁽¹⁾ \$23.62

Benchmark Rate⁽¹⁾ \$20.82

Cost-of-Service Rate (est.)⁽²⁾ \$32.47

Note:

For this type system there is a large gap between the benchmark rate and the cost-of-service rate. An allowance for depreciation as shown on the prior page would conservatively meet the need for relief while still remaining well within cost-of-service boundaries.

July 28, 1993

⁽¹⁾Includes equipment charges

⁽²⁾ Conservatively estimated using no intangibles, no income taxes and an 11.25% return on net assets.

Impact on Head-end Depreciation of Head-end Size

	Add-on		Add-On
Head-end	Fixed Cos	ts/ Per Satellite	Per Off-Air
Size	_Custome	<u>Channel</u>	<u>Channel</u>
5,000		**	
0.500	¢ 000	¢ 00e	# 000
2,500	\$.029	\$.006	\$.003
1,000	\$.117	\$.023	\$.011
.,000	~	V.020	V 1011
750	\$.166	\$.033	\$.015
500	\$.264	\$.052	\$.024
050	# 550	© 440	6 054
250	\$.558	\$.110	\$.051
100	\$1.439	\$.283	\$.131
100	41.100	4 .200	4
50	\$2.907	\$.571	\$.264

250 guh evetam. 6 off-sire and 10 oahlo abanzala

_. _.

Gross Asset Summary - Rural vs Average (000's)

	Rural	Average
Distribution System (\$15M/mi)	\$10,470	\$ 3,225
Head-end Fixed Cost (\$35M each) Per Channel Costs	315 785	35 88
Vehicles	155	135
Installation (\$80/drop)	960	960
Converters	275	275
Tools/Equipment/Computers	130	130
Initial Marketing	390	390
Furniture and Fixtures	50	<u>50</u>
Gross Assets	\$ <u>13,530</u>	\$ <u>5,288</u>
Investment/Customer	\$ <u>1,458</u>	\$ <u>570</u>

Head-end Capital Costs

Fixed Costs

Building	\$ 3,500
Fence (100 x 100 @ \$9 per foot)	3,600
Tower (60 foot)	15,000
Satellite Antennas	
(4 ea @ \$3,000 installed)	12,000
Air Conditioner	<u>900</u>
Total	\$35,000

Variable Costs/Channel

Satellite Channels	
IRD Receiver	\$ 1,800
Modulator	1,200
Miscellaneous	100
Total	\$ 3,100

Off-air Channels	
Processor	\$ 1,100
Antenna	400
Miscellaneous	100
Total	\$ 1,600

Star Cable Associates

Depreciation Schedule -- Average Density

				Depreciation Expense					
	Gross <u>Asset</u>	Useful <u>Life</u>	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Distribution System	\$3,225	12	\$ 134	\$ 268	\$ 268	\$ 268	\$ 268	\$ 268	\$ 268
Head-end Fixed Costs Per Channel Costs	35 88	20 10	1 4	2 9	2 9	2 9	2 9	2 9	2 9
Vehicles ⁽¹⁾	135	3	22	45	45	22	22	45	45
Installation	960	7	69	137	137	137	137	137	137
Converters	275	7	20	40	40	40	40	40	40
Tools/Equipment/Computers ⁽²⁾	130	5	13	26	26	26	26	13	13
Initial Marketing	390	5	39	78	78	78	78	39	
Furniture and Fixtures	50	<u>10</u>	2	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total	\$ <u>5,288</u>		\$ <u>304</u>	\$ <u>610</u>	\$ <u>610</u>	\$ <u>588</u>	\$ <u>588</u>	\$ <u>588</u>	\$ <u>519</u>
Net Book Value @ Year End			\$ <u>4,984</u>	\$ <u>4,374</u>	\$ <u>3,764</u>	\$ <u>3,176</u>	\$ <u>2,723</u>	\$2,165	\$ <u>1,776</u>

⁽¹⁾Replaced in 1993 @ \$135M

⁽²⁾Replaced in 1995 @ \$130M

Star Cable Associates

Depreciation Schedule -- Rural Density

				Depreciation Expense					
		Useful <u>Life</u>	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Distribution System	\$10,470	12	\$ 436	\$ 872	\$ 872	\$ 872	\$ 872	\$ 872	\$ 872
Head-end Fixed Costs Per Channel Costs	315 785	20 10	8 39	16 78	16 78	16 78	16 78	16 78	16 78
Vehicles ⁽¹⁾	155	3	26	52	52	26	26	52	52
Installation	960	7	69	137	137	137	137	137	137
Converters	275	7	20	40	40	40	40	40	40
Tools/Equipment/Computers ⁽²⁾	130	5	13	26	26	26	26	13	13
Initial Marketing	390	5	39	78	78	78	78	39	
Furniture and Fixtures	50	10	2	5	5	5	5	5	5
Total	\$ <u>13,530</u>		\$ <u>652</u>	\$ <u>1,304</u>	\$ <u>1,304</u>	\$ <u>1,278</u>	\$ <u>1,278</u>	\$ <u>1,252</u>	\$ <u>1,213</u>
Net Book Value @ Year End			\$ <u>12,878</u>	\$ <u>11,574</u>	\$ <u>10,270</u>	\$ <u>8,992</u>	\$ <u>7,869</u>	\$6,617	\$ <u>5,534</u>

⁽¹⁾Replaced in 1993 @ \$135M

⁽²⁾Replaced in 1995 @ \$130M

Star Cable Associates

Head-end Depreciation Expense

Head-end Size	Depreciation/ Customer- Fixed Costs ⁽¹⁾	Depreciation/Cus Satellite Channels ^{ra}	tomer/Channel Off-Air Channels ⁽³⁾
5,000	\$.0294	\$.0058	\$.0027
2,500	\$.0587	\$.0115	\$.0053
1,000	\$.1468	\$.0288	\$.0133
750	\$.1958	\$.0384	\$.0178
500	\$.2937	\$.0577	\$.0267
250	\$.5873	\$.1153	\$.0533
100	\$1.4683	\$.2883	\$.1333
50	\$2.9366	\$.5766	\$.2667

⁽¹⁾²⁰ year straight line depreciation of \$35,000 of fixed costs.

⁽²⁾10 year straight-line depreciation of \$3,100 of costs per channel.

^{(3) 10} year straight-line depreciation of \$1,600 of costs per channel.

Reconciliation of Rural and Average Density Expenses (000's)

Payroll Rural Density System One less technician 10% higher tech wages 20% higher office wages Payroll taxes Average Density System	\$ 460 (14) 12 14
Plant Rural Density System Plant electric Property Taxes Pole Rent R&M Headend equipment Vehicle Expenses Capitalization Average Density System	\$ 477 (125) (56) (71) (10) (12) 3 \$ 206
Service Rural Density System Copyright Average Density System	\$ 933 <u>94</u> \$ <u>1,027</u>
G&A Rural Density System Office Rent Telephone Average Density System	\$ 149 13 <u>(24)</u> \$ <u>138</u>

Note: This analysis shows all of the changes made to convert the Rural Density System to an Average Density System.

MKTG EXP/CONNECT.

STAR CABLE IV

1993 BUDGET OPERATING SUMMARY

REPORT 101 - A

28-Jul-93

	_			1992								1993				
OPERATING SUMMAR		2ND QTR	3RD QTR	4TH QTR	TOTAL	% REV	BUDGET	VARIANCE	OTR	2ND QTR	3RD QTR	4TH QTR	TOTAL	% REV	INCREASE	*
PLANT MILES HOMES PASSED	691.0 14,321	691.0 14,321	691.0 14,305	698.0 14,291	698.0 14,291		692.0 14,244	6.0 47	 215.0 14,441	215.0 14,441	215.0 14,441	215.0 14,441	215.0 14,441		(483.0 150	
BASIC CUSTOMERS	8,599	8,762	8,775	8,870	8,870	1	8,763	107	9.030	9,078	9,155	9,279	9,279		409	5%
PENETRATION	60.0%	61.2%	61.3%	62.1%	62.1%		61.5%	0.5%	62.5%	62.9%	63.4%	64.3%	64.3%		2.2%	
PAY UNITS	5.168	5,204	5,150	5,095	5,095		5,000	95	5.164	5,224	5,262	5.319	5,319		223	
PENETRATION	60.1%	59.4%	58.7%	57.4%	57.4%		57.1%	0.4%	57.2%	57.5%	57.5%	57.3%	57.3%		-0.1%	,
REVENUES: BASIC	\$503,493	\$508,039	\$520,349	\$527,544	\$2,059,425	70.4%	\$2,010,164	\$49,261	\$568,105	\$571,666	\$599,316	\$635,546	\$2,374,633	71.9%	\$315,208	3 15%
PAY	\$149,136	\$145,726	\$141,676	\$138,867	\$575,405	19.7%	\$595,149	(\$19,744)	\$140,935	\$140,499	\$141,763	\$143,028	\$566,225		(\$9,180	
OTHER	\$74,041	\$71,747	\$72,332	\$74,307	\$292,427		\$282,296	\$10,131	 \$86,955	\$90,901	\$91,984	\$93,625	\$363,464	11.0%	\$71,037	7 24%
TOTAL	\$726,670	\$725,512	\$734,357	\$740,718	\$2,927,257		\$2,887,809	\$39,648	 \$795,995	\$803,065	\$833,063	\$872,199	\$3,304,322	100%	\$377,065	13%
EXPENSES: PAYROLL	\$111,976	\$132,085	\$107,198	\$104,454	\$455,713	15.6%	\$454,286	\$1,427	\$116,732	\$115,343	\$116,994	\$118,458	\$467,527	14.1%	\$11,814	3%
PLANT	\$116,476	\$113,810	\$113,209	\$109,734	\$453,229	15.5%	\$465,643	(\$12,414)	\$51,589	\$51,589	\$51,589	\$51,589	\$206,355	6.2%	(\$246,874	9 -54%
SERVICE	\$206,041	\$211,395	\$211,461	\$215,169	\$844,066		\$872,023	(\$27,957)	\$216,104	\$217,554	\$220,274	\$223,411	\$877,343	26.6%	\$33,277	7 4%
G&A	\$33,987	\$38,034	\$33,168	\$35,568	\$140,757		\$137,277	\$3,480	\$34,442	\$34,356	\$35,060	\$34,552	\$138,410		(\$2,347	
MKTG	\$5,889	\$4,592	\$1,207	\$2,000	\$13,688	0.5%	\$28,876	(\$15,188)	 \$7,070	\$5,105	\$6,855	\$6,851	\$25,882	0.8%	\$12,194	89%
TOTAL	\$474,369	\$499,916	\$466,243	\$466,925	\$1,907,453	85.2%	\$1,958,105	(\$50,652)	\$425,936	\$423,947	\$430,772	\$434,861	\$1,715,517	51.9%	(\$191,936) -10%
NET OP INCOME	\$252,301 34.7%	\$225,596 31.1%	\$268,114 36.5%	\$273,793 37.0%	\$1,019,804 34.8%		\$929,504 32.2%	\$90,300 2.6%	\$370,058 46.5%	\$379,117 47.2%	\$402,292 48.3%	\$437,337 50.1%	\$1,588,805 48,1%		\$569,001 13.2%	
								·								
KEY OPERATING INDIC																
REV/SUB/MO N.O.I./SUB/MO	\$28.17 \$9.78	\$27.86 \$8.66	\$27.92 \$10.19	\$27.99 \$10.34	\$27.98 \$9.74		=	=	\$29.53 \$13.73	\$29.54 \$13.95	\$30.51 \$14.73	\$31.53 \$15.81	\$30.28 \$14.56		\$2.30 \$4.82	
BASIC CHURN				1.8%	-		-	- }	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%			
PLANTEMPLOYEES	6	6	6	6.0	6.0		0.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		0.0	0%
G&A EMPLOYEES	6	ě	6	6.0	6.0		0.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0		0.0	
BAS SUBSÆMPL	717	730	731	739	_		-	- i	753	757	763	773	773		34	5%
HLES/PLANT EMPL	115	115	115	116	-		-	- j	36	36	36	36	. 36		(81)	-69%
PLANT EXP/MILE PLANT EXP/SUB	\$56 \$4.52	\$55 \$4.37	\$55 \$4.30	\$55 \$4,34	\$55 \$4.38		=	-	\$66 \$1.91	\$80 \$1.90	\$80 \$1.89	\$80 \$1.86	\$80 \$1.89		\$25 (\$2.49)	45%) -57%
BAD DEBT/REV				0.8%	_		-	_	0.8%	0.8% \$2,67	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%			
SASIC PROG/SUB				\$3.23	_				\$2.65		\$2.89	\$2.69	\$2.68			

\$4

\$8

\$5

\$6

\$6

\$6

BASIC SUBSCRIBERS

	9-30-92	4Q 92	JAN 93	FEB 93	MAR 93	APR 93	MAY 93	JUN 93	JUL 93	AUG 93	SEP 93	OCT 93	NOV 93	DEC 93	TOTAL
HOMES PASSED/MILES															
* PLANT MILES - Aerial * - U/G - Total * HOMES PASSED	656.5 34.5 691.0 14,305	658.5 39.5 698.0 14,291	204.0 11.0 215.0 14,441	11.0	204.0 11.0 215.0 14,441	204.0 11.0 215.0 14,441									

HOMES TO BE MARKETED	9-30-92	4Q 92	JAN 93	FEB 93	MAR 93	APR 93	MAY 93	JUN 93	JUL 93	AUG 93	SEP 93	OCT 93	NOV 93	DEC 93	TOTAL
* NEW MKT RELEASES * HOMES MARKETED * SELL-IN PENETRATION		69 69 55.0%	0 0 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0 0 0.0%	0 0 0.0%	0							
ENDING INVENTORY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

BASIC CUSTOMERS	9-30-92	4Q 92	JAN 93	FEB 93	MAR 93	APR 93	MAY 93	JUN 93	JUL 93	AUG 93	SEP 93	OCT 93	NOV 93	DEC 93	TOTAL
CONNECTS: NEW MKT		38 522	0 131	0 135			0 155	_	0 132	0 160					0 1,860
TOTAL		560	131	135	155	155	155	132	132	160	180	180	175	170	1,860
DISCONNECTS: TOTAL CHURN %		465 1.8%	131 1.5%	131 1.5%	131 1.5%	131 1.5%	131 1.5%	132 1.5%	132 1.5%	132 1.5%	132 1.5%		134 1.5%		1,581
NET GAIN:		95	(0)) 4	24	24	24	0	0	28	48	47	41	36	278
END OF MONTH	8,605	8,700	8,700	8,705	6,729	8,753	8,777	8,777	8,778	8,806	8,854	8,901	8,943	6,978	
* COMMERCIALS TOTAL BASIC SUBS	170 8,775		301 9,001 ======	301 9,006	301 9,030	301 9,054	301 9,078	301 9,078	301 9,079	301 9,107	301 9,155	301 9,202	301 9,244	301 9,279	# #
AVERAGE SUBSCRIBERS BASIC PENETRATION	61.3%	8,823 62.1%	8,936 62.3%	9,004 62.4%	9,018 62.5%	9,042 62.7%	9,066 62.9%	9,078 62.9%	9,078 62.9%	9,093 63.1%	9,131 63.4%	9,178 63.7%	9,223 64.0%	9,262 64.3%	

	9-30-92	4Q 92	JAN 93	FEB 93	MAR 93	APR 93	MAY 93	JUN 93	JUL 93	AUG 93	SEP 93	OCT 93	NOV 93	DEC 93	TOTAL
TOTAL PAY UNITS															
* PAY/BASIC NEW MARKET SELL-IN %	-	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	55%	
CONNECTS - NEW MK CONNECTS - ALL OTHI TOTAL CONNECTS		21 588 609	0 155 155	0 155 155	0 160 160	0 185 185	0 200 200	0 205 205	, 210 210		0 225 225	0 225 225	0 225 225	0 225 225	0 2,385 2,385
DISCONNECTS CHURN %		612 4.0%	178 3.5%	178 3.5%	177 3.5%	176 3.5%	176 3.5%	177 3.5%	204 4.0%	204 4.0%	204 4.0%	205 4.0%	206 4.0%	207 4.0%	2,293
NET GAIN		(3)	(23)	(23)	(17)	9	24	28	6	11	21	20	19	18	92
END OF MONTH	5,098	5,095	5,072	5,049	5,033	5,041	5,085	5,093	5,099	5,110	5,131	5,150	5,169	5,1 88	
COMMERCIALS TOTAL PAY UNITS	0 5,0 98	0 5,095	131 5,203	131 5,180	131 5,164	131 5,172	131 5,1 95	131 5,224	131 5,230	131 5,241	131 5,2 6 2	131 5,281	131 5,300	131 5,319	==
AVERAGE UNITS PAY/BASIC PENE	58.1%	5,097 57.4%	5,149 57.8%	5,192 57.5%	5,172 57.2%	5,168 57.1%	5,184 57.2%	5,210 57.5%	5,227 57.6%	5,235 57.5%	5,251 57.5%	5,272 57.4%	5,291 57.3%	5,309 57.3%	

AY UNIT BREAKDOWN Residential Units)	9-30-92	4Q 92	JAN 93	FEB 93	MAR 93	APR 93	MAY 93	JUN 93	JUL 93	AUG 93	SEP 93	OCT 93	NOV 93	DEC 93	TOTAL
OF TOTAL PAY												· 			
HBO	36.8%	36.5%	36.6%	36.9%	36.9%	36.9%	36.7%	36.7%	36.7%	36.6%	36.4%	36.2%	36.1%	35.0%	-0.5%
SHOWTIME	15.7%	16.5%	16.5%	16.5%	16.5%	16.5%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.8%	16.8%	16.8%	16.8%	0.3%
CINEMAX	29.2%	27.5%	27.1%	25.9%	25.9%	25.9%	25.7%	25.7%	25.7%	25.6%	25.6%	25.7%	25.8%	25.8%	-1.7%
TMC	0.0%	2.8%	3.1%	4.0%	4.0%	4.0%	4.2%	4.2%	4.2%	4.4%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	1.7%
DISNEY	18.3%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.7%	16.8%	16.8%	16.9%	0.2%
REGIONAL SPORTS.	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
TIGERVISION	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OTHER 1	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
OTHER 2	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
		100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%
OM PAY UNITS															
HBO (Residential)	1,874	1,860	1,856	1,863	1,857	1,860	1,859	1,869	1,871	1,870	1,868	1,864	1,866	1,868	e
SHOWTIME	800	841	837	833	830	832	846	850	852	853	862	865	868	872	31
CINEMAX	1,489	1,401	1,374	1,305	1,303	1,306	1,302	1,309	1,310	1,308	1,313	1,324	1,334	1,338	(63
TMC	0	143	157	202	201	202	213	214	214	225	231	232	233	233	91
DISNEY	935	851	847	843	840	842	846	850	852	853	857	865	868	877	26
REGIONAL SPORTS.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TIGERVISION	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OTHER 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
COMMERCIALS	0	0	131	131	131	131	131	131	131	131	131	131	131	131	131
	5,098	5.095	5,203	5,180	5,164	5,172	5,196	5.224	5,230	5,241	5,262	5,281	5.300	5,319	223

STAR CABLE OH08 OHIO URBAN LARGE OPERATOR

OPERATING BUDGET

REPORT 303 28 - Jul - 93

OTHER SUBSCRIBERS

FAMILY TIER CUSTOMERS * % OF BASIC 99.9%	89 8,797 89 8,783 96 21.9% 88 1,994	-,	5 8,892 1 8,869 5 21.9% 5 2,015	2 8,93 9 8,913 6 21.99 5 2,02	4 8,969 3 8,952 5 21.9%	0.0%
END OF MONTH	89 8,797 89 8,783 96 21.9% 88 1,994	8,845 8,821 21.9% 2,005	5 8,892 1 8,869 5 21.9% 5 2,015	2 8,93 9 8,913 6 21.99 5 2,02	4 8,969 3 8,952 5 21.9%	278 0.0%
AVERAGE	69 8,783 % 21.9% 88 1,994	8,821 21.9% 2,005	8,869 21.9% 2,015	9 8,913 6 21.99 5 2,02	3 8,952 5 21.9%	0.0%
ADDITIONAL OUTLETS * % OF BASIC 21.9% 21.9	% 21.9% 88 1,994	21.9% 2,005	21.9% 5 2,015	6 21.9% 5 2,024	5 21.9%	0.0%
* % OF BASIC 21.9%	88 1,994	2,005	2,015	5 2,02		
END OF MONTH 1,895 1,943 1,971 1,972 1,978 1,983 1,988 1,988 1,98	88 1,994	2,005	2,015	5 2,02		
					4 2.032	
	88 1,991	2,000	2,010			90
AVERAGE				0 2,020	2,028	
REMOTE CUSTOMERS						
* % OF BASIC 7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 7.5% 7.5%	% 7.5%	7.5%	7.5%	5 7.5%	7.5%	0.0%
END OF MONTH 701 665 675 675 677 679 681 681 68	81 683	687	690	693	696	31
AVERAGE 683 670 675 676 678 680 681 68	81 682	685	688	692	2 695	
GUIDE CUSTOMERS						
* % OF BASIC 19.5% 19.5% 19.5% 19.5% 19.5% 19.5% 19.5%	% 19.5%	19.5%	19.5%	19.5%	19.5%	0.0%
END OF MONTH 1,676 1,730 1,755 1,756 1,761 1,768 1,770 1,770 1,77	70 1,776	1,785	1,794	4 1,802	2 1,809	80
AVERAGE	70 1,773	1,781	1,790	1,798	1,806	
OTHER ANCILLARY CUSTOMERS						
* % OF BASIC 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0	96 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
END OF MONTH 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0	0) 0) (0	0
AVERAGE	0 0	0	0) (0	
CONVERTER RENTAL CUSTOMERS						
* % OF BASIC 5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0% 5.0%	% 5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	5.0%	0.0%
END OF MONTH 464 444 450 450 452 453 454 454 45	54 455	458	460	462	464	20
AVERAGE	54 455	457	459	9 461	463	
LATE CHARGE CUSTOMERS						
* % OF BASIC 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0% 13.0%	% 13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	13.0%	0.0%
END OF MONTH 1,153 1,170 1,171 1,174 1,177 1,180 1,180 1,18	30 1,184	1,190	1,196	3 1,202	1,206	53